1221.

App. F. IX-4

Previous References.

1957-57 (CB.)

File No. 345.58

Serial Nos. 28

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for Aug 57

SUBJECT

Short Note Austria Art. 28 Key Amendments re Commission.

WD 010861—GPB—25,000—18-9-50

MINUTE OF THE CHIEF MINISTER.

Short Notice Qu. 28 — 1224/Ch.

Amendment to Constitution

XXX —.

Information sought
Interpellation
may please be sent
immediately.

Vide.

29/5/57

(Sec.) Refer in this matter
Word received in the
Chief Sect, Stenck —
Chief Secy) None
28/5
Word 29/5/57

Submitted

Govt. of India has called for the views of this Govt. in their letter dt. 20.4.57 regarding amendment of Art. 31 of the Constitution and had forwarded a copy of the draft amendment copy of it was sent to Law Secy, Rev. Secy & the Rev Comr for furnishing their remarks A note was received from the Law Secy on 21.5.57 and it was forwarded to the Rev Comr. the same day unofficially as was ordered by the Ch. Secy on it. The Rev. Comr. was reminded over the phone to return the file & he promised to return it today (29.5.57) But so far it has not been received back.

M. L. A Short Notice Qn. No. 28 1221 Ch.

re: amendment to the Constitution

I) Excepting that no other Communication re: amendment to other Article, eff; 19 th Part of the Constitution has been received in the Ch. Secrt.

It may be submitted ~~that~~ since the ~~other~~ amendments to other article, fall in the Union list. ~~the Govt of India are requested to obtain the State Govts in the matter.~~

In view of the fact that Govt. were not consulted regarding all the article excepting the one relating to Art 31. it is for Consideration whether this question need be answered or if it should be answered whether the position as stated above may not be explained instead of answering the question clause by clause

I Submitted with reference to para I.

JHPA 29.5.57

I) The return of the U. O. file sent to the R. C. may be awaited before proposing a draft reply.

(Chief Secy)

CHIEF SECRETARY 1895 31 MAY 1957 GOVT OF MYSORE

II) Dem 30/5/57

II) There must be another file in Cur ne ch on which the amendments to the Constitution may be linked

M.L.A Budget Session 1951.
Short Notice Qn 28. re amendment to
the Constitution 1221
Ch S.

link this.

12) So far as the present question goes, draft replies may be put up. It is seen that the amendments were placed by the Govt before Parliament & have been passed already. Hence no further action is now required in respect of pending amendments.

13) The delay that has come to the notice is regretted

31/5/51

Submitted.

The Bill amending the Constitution is still being discussed in the Parliament and from tomorrow (1.6.51) two days have been ~~allotted~~ allotted for voting of the bill clause by clause. After the whole bill is passed, it will have to further undergo second and third reading ~~before~~ before it becomes a law.

14) A draft along with fair copy of reminder to the R.C. is put up for approval. Refer Para 13.

15) Draft reply to Short notice as dictated by the Ch. Secy. Qn is put up for consideration

16) Ch mnr

17) thro Law Secy.) 18) 1/6/51

31.5.51 1.6. 31.5.51. 1.6 1/6

CHIEF SECRETARY 1973 1 JUN 1951 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Office of the Chief Minister
No .....
Date of Receipt .....

2201 1 JUN 1951

CHIEF SECRETARY 1973 1 JUN 1951 GOVT. OF MYSORE

H.L.A. Short Notice Q. No 28 1221.

re Amendment to the Constitution

1221 CM.

19)

There is no time for answering this short notice question

HCD.
1/6/51

Submit for orders whether the reply put up has to be sent to the office of the Mysore Legis. before closing the file.

21) Please put up a routine note to ascertain the procedure from the Office of the Mysore Legislature.

File no. 547/Ch.S is delinked and put up separately vide 2/6.

Routine note submitted 15/6/51)

22) It is stated that it is usual to furnish replies even to unanswered short notice questions. For orders whether the draft replies put up may be sent.

May be furnished.

Chief Secy)

9.7.51

9.7.51

For approval

Ch Min)

A. I don't think this is the correct position. May be tried.

6/9/51

9/7/51

CHIEF SECRETARY. 1 JUN 1951 GOVT. OF MYS

CHIEF SECRETARY 3175 9 JUL 1951 GOVT. OF MYSORE

CHIEF SECRETARY 2918 7 SEP 195 GOVT. OF

M.L.A. BUDGET SESSION 1951. (May 1951)

28.

Short Notice question by Sri V.S.Narayana Rao and Sri W.H.Hanumanthappa.

Questions.	Answers.
a) Whether they were favoured with advance copies of amendments to the Constitution of India?	No.
b) If so, when the copies were received?	On the assumption that the Constitution should be amended an advance copy of a first draft of the proposed new article 31A was received from the Ministry of Law, Government of India on the 23rd April 1951 and it was stated that the scope and nature of the various amendments to the Constitution which would have to be sponsored at this juncture were being considered and that a further communication might be expected shortly and that the first draft referred to above was being sent in order that there might be a little more time to consider the proposed new article carefully from the technical point of view. No further communication was received from the Government of India.
c) Whether they submitted recommendations or views in this connection?	Do not arise in view of the answer to(b).
d) If so, whether they will make the copies available to the members?	
e) Whether this State Government was consulted before the amendments were taken in the Parliament?	

Chief Secy. to Govt.

1284
ch-S. (a)

C. F. 74.]

WD 08286—GPB—25,000—1-8-48

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted

A short notice question No. 28 by Sri V.S. Narayana Rao and Sri N.H. Hanumanthappa re: amendment to the Constitution could not be answered when the Legislature was in session. The Office of the Mysore Legislature may be requested to intimate whether it is necessary now to furnish replies to the question as the session is over. For f.o. remarks

Submitted
15/6/57

(Asst. Secy. L-A.)
15.6.57.

15.6.57.

Submitted

The Questions to which replies have not been furnished will be treated as postponed Questions and answers to such ques read after the session will be printed in the proceedings of the last days of the next session. As the above system is followed in the case of short notice ques also the Chief Secretary may perhaps be requested to to reply to answers to the above short notice Qs

(Chief Secretary)
5/7

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

378/L.A.



Mysore Legislative Assembly.
Budget Session, 1951.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION

Sri V. S. Narayana Rao (Bangalore City--General and
Sri W. H. Hanumanthappa (Bangalore District--General).--

No. 28. Will the Government be pleased to state.--

a) whether they were favoured with advance copies of amendments to the Constitution of India;

b) if so, when the copies were received;

c) whether they submitted recommendations or views in this connection;

d) if so, whether they will make the copies available to the members;

e) whether this State Government was consulted before the amendments were taken in the Parliament?

Cp

To kindly indicate if the Hon'ble Minister consents to allow this question to be put at short notice and ifso to kindly have the usual copies of answers furnished and to also kindly indicate on what date of the Session the question may be taken up for answer.

Hon'ble CHIEF MINISTER)



28. ---

Short Notice question by Sri V.S.Narayana Rao and Sri W.H.Hanumanthappa.

Questions.	Answers.
a) Whether they were favoured with advance copies of amendments to the Constitution of India?	No.
b) If so, when the copies were received?	On the assumption that the Constitution should be amended an advance copy of a first draft of the proposed new article 31A was received from the Ministry of Law, Government of India on the 23rd April 1951 and it was stated that the scope and nature of the various amendments to the Constitution which would have to be sponsored at this juncture were being considered and that a further communication might be expected shortly and that the first draft referred to above was being sent in order that there might be a little more time to consider the proposed new article carefully from the technical point of view. No further communication was received from the Government of India.
c) Whether they submitted recommendations or views in this connection?	Do not arise in view of the answer to(b).
d) If so, whether they will make the copies available to the members?	
e) Whether this State Government was consulted before the amendments were taken in the Parliament?	